

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 27 2005

Docket No.: 10012198 (4536-010)

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of

CROSBIE, MARK et al

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/987,911

Filed: November 16, 2001

:  
:  
: Confirmation No. 7932  
:  
: Group Art Unit: 2131  
:  
: Examiner:  
: ABRISHAMKAR KAVEH

For: METHOD OF DETECTING CRITICAL FILE CHANGES

**PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST REASONS**

Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Responsive to the Advisory Action mailed November 22, 2005, the following reasons are submitted in conjunction with the pre-appeal brief conference request.

**Claims 1-19**

The Examiner asserted in the Final Office Action mailed August 26, 2005 and the Advisory Action mailed November 22, 2005 that the detection of adding, deleting, or changing of any files as described in Kim et al. ("The Design and Implementation of Tripwire: A File System Integrity Checker" and hereinafter referred to as Kim) at page 27, paragraph 4, is analogous to "reading events representing various types of system calls" as claimed in the present claimed subject matter. The Examiner is incorrect as stated in Applicant's October 25, 2005 After Final Response (Response under Rule 116) at pages 2 and 3. Specifically, Kim discloses the scanning of a file system to determine whether any files have been added, deleted, or changed in comparison with a prior snapshot of the file system.

In contrast, the present claimed subject matter relates to reading events representing various types of system calls which is not the same as reading and comparing files in a file system. Files are not the same as events and Kim fails to provide any disclosure of reading

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/987,911

Attorney Docket: 10012198 (4536-010)

events, let alone events representing system calls. The instant specification at page 4, lines 7-10 states that events pertain to system call invocations by a process. In an embodiment, the events are generated by an intrusion detection subsystem connected with an operating system and reflect system call invocations on the operating system. For at least this reason, the rejection should be withdrawn.

Further, during the course of a telephone interview held on December 22, 2005, Examiner Abrishamkar conceded that Kim's files are not the same as the presently claimed events and a new search and review should be performed. Further, in response to the Examiner's statement in the Advisory Action mailed November 22, 2005 that applicant's "interpretation of 'events' is not supported by the claim language", Applicant's attorney directed the Examiner's attention to the specification at page 4 for a description supporting applicant's arguments that the events include kernel records pertaining to system call invocations by a process.

For each of the foregoing reasons, the rejection of claims 1-19 should be withdrawn.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 08-2025 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

CROSBIE, MARK et al



Randy A. Noranbrock  
Registration No. 42,940

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY**  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400  
Telephone: 703-684-1111  
Facsimile: 970-898-0640  
December 20, 2005 KMB/RAN/iyt

BEST AVAILABLE COPY